


10/05/98



J614 U.S. PTO

0916496-1156496

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UTILITY PATENT APPLICATION
TRANSMITTAL LETTER
UNDER 37 C.F.R. 1.53(b)

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.:

10191/821

Address to:

Assistant Commissioner for Patents
Washington D.C. 20231
Box Patent Application

Transmitted herewith for filing is a patent application.

Inventor(s): Holger BELLMANN, Gudrun MENRAD, Jürgen WOLF,
Hans HILLNER, Juergen SCHIEMANN, and Georg MALLEBREIN

For: **CONTROL DEVICE FOR A SYSTEM, AND METHOD FOR OPERATING
THE CONTROL DEVICE**

1. Enclosed are:

- ☒ 2 sheet(s) of drawing(s).
☒ A certified copy of German Appln. 1 97 44 230.7 on which priority is based.
☒ Assignment of the invention to Robert Bosch GmbH.
☒ A declaration/power of attorney.
☒ An Information Disclosure Statement along with an accompanying PTO-1449.

Other: _____

2. The filing fee has been calculated as shown below:

	NUMBER FILED	NUMBER EXTRA	RATE (\$)	FEE (\$)
BASIC FEE				790.00
TOTAL CLAIMS	20 - 20 =	0	22.00	0.00
INDEPENDENT CLAIMS	2 - 3 =	0	82.00	0.00
MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIM PRESENT			270.00	
*Number extra must be zero or larger			TOTAL	790.00
If the applicant is a small entity under 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.9 and 1.27, then divide total fee by 2, and enter amount here.			SMALL ENTITY TOTAL	

Express Mail No. EL79951643US
© Kenyon & Kenyon 1998

J614 U.S. PTO

09/166496



86/50/10

0016496 100508
855007 90999100

3. Please charge the required application filing fee of **\$790.00** to the deposit account of **Kenyon & Kenyon**, deposit account number **11-0600**.
4. The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge payment of the following fees, associated with this communication or arising during the pendency of this application, or to credit any overpayment, to the deposit account of **Kenyon & Kenyon**, deposit account number **11-0600**:
 - A. Any additional filing fees required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.16;
 - B. Any additional patent application processing fees under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17;
 - C. Any additional document supply fees under 37 C.F.R. § 1.19;
 - D. Any additional post-patent processing fees under 37 C.F.R. § 1.20; or
 - E. Any additional miscellaneous fees under 37 C.F.R. § 1.21.
5. A duplicate copy of this letter is enclosed for that purpose.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: 10/2/98

By: R. L. Mayer
Richard L. Mayer
Reg. No. 22,490

KENYON & KENYON
One Broadway
New York, New York 10004
(212) 425-7200 (telephone)
(212) 425-5288 (facsimile)

CONTROL DEVICE FOR A SYSTEM, AND METHOD FOR OPERATING THE CONTROL DEVICE

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

The present invention relates to a control device for a system, and to a method for operating the control device.

5 BACKGROUND INFORMATION

International Patent Publication No. WO 97/13064 describes a control device for a system and a method for operating a control device in which a plurality of activatable modules are provided. These modules are activated by a scheduler which takes into account priorities that are assigned to the modules. In this context, however, the priorities assigned to the modules are fixed and cannot be modified.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The control device according to the present invention and the method according to the present invention for operating the control device have the advantage that the sequence control system for the modules is improved as a result of modifiable priorities. In this fashion, in particular, a plurality of modifiable conditions can be taken into account for the sequence control system, i.e. for activating and executing modules.

By taking into account the time period during which the particular module is activated or deactivated, it is possible to ensure that modules which have not been executed for some time or have been executed quite recently are taken into consideration accordingly. By taking into account system states, the priority of modules can be made dependent on external states. In an advantageous manner, both methods are linked to one another so as to ensure the greatest possible flexibility in the activation of modules. Increasing the priority upon activation ensures that a certain minimum run time is available to modules. By taking into account the absolute time, it is possible to implement positive run conditions which depend on an absolute time signal. Advantageously, the

scheduler follows a selection process for the modules in which higher-priority modules are given preferred consideration.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

5 Figure 1 shows a control device and a system according to the present invention.

Figure 2 shows a first graph for allocating priorities to modules.

10 Figure 3 shows a second graph for allocating the priorities to the modules.

Figure 4 shows a third graph for allocating the priorities to the modules.

Figure 5 shows a first step for a selection process using a scheduler.

15 Figure 6 shows a second step for the selection process using the scheduler.

Figure 7 shows a third step for the selection process using the scheduler.

20 Figure 8 shows a fourth step for the selection process using the scheduler.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

Figure 1 shows a control device 1 which is connected via connecting lines 4 to a system 2 to be controlled. Control device 1 has a microcomputer 3 which is provided for the execution of modules 10, 11, 12. Module 10 represents a plurality of modules, which are functional modules. Such functional modules 10 are provided in order to control or diagnose system 2. Module 11 is a scheduler, and module 12 is a module which acts as priority manager.

30 System 2 can be any desired technical system which is controlled by a control device 1. For example, system 2 can be a motor vehicle, an internal combustion engine, or a transmission. Such system 2 is equipped with a plurality of sensors

and a plurality of actuators. Actuation data generated by control device 1 can be transmitted via connecting lines 4 to the actuators of system 2 (arrow pointing toward system 2). In addition, measured values of sensors of system 2 can be transferred via connecting lines 4 to control device 1 (arrow pointing toward control device 1). Control device 1 thus receives data concerning states of system 2 which are processed by control device 1 and converted, as a function of desired states (for example, accelerator pedal position in a motor vehicle), into control data for system 2.

Control device 1 is schematically illustrated with multiple modules 10, 11, 12 and microcomputer 3. Other hardware components usually used for control device 1 are not illustrated herein. Modules 10, 11, 12 are usually configured as program modules, but hardware modules which perform the corresponding functions can also be used. A function module 10 configured as a program module is a sequence of program instructions which are activated or deactivated as a unit by scheduler 11. In this context, functions which present themselves to the user as a unit or are used to control a unified function can be divided into multiple modules managed separately by scheduler 11. Function modules 10 are required for the processing of direct control tasks or diagnostic tasks. These modules analyze control data of system 2, and as a function of predefined setpoints generate corresponding control data for system 2. An input signal of a function module of this kind can consist, for example in a motor vehicle, of the engine speed and the setpoint information in the gas pedal position, from which a corresponding control datum for the engine is then generated. Function modules 10 which monitor proper operation of system 2 can also be provided. In a motor vehicle, for example, the functionality of the catalytic converter must be checked during vehicle operation based on regulatory stipulations. This is done by activating, from time to time, a corresponding function module 10 which checks the operation of the catalyst by analyzing signals from the lambda probe.

Function modules 10 can be in the active or inactive state. In the inactive state,

the tasks connected with the module, such as diagnosis or control of elements of the system, are not executed. This means in particular that inactive modules read in data from the system only to test their readiness to operate, and that no data are output to system 2. In the inactive state, however, the modules can still exchange data with, for example, microcomputer 3. In particular, it should still be possible to exchange data between function modules 10 and scheduler 11 data concerning the activation of a module (e.g. activation capability or request). Since not all function modules 10 are required in every operating state of system 2, at least a portion of those modules can be inactivated. Activation of the individual function modules is accomplished by way of a sequence control system which decides which function modules 10 to execute. Scheduler 11 and priority manager 12 are part of this sequence control system. The sequence control system can make the activation of individual function modules dependent upon specific external conditions. For example, function modules 10 which have to do, for example, with the ignition or fuel injection systems of a gasoline engine are activated as a function of the crankshaft position of the engine. Similarly, function modules 10 which have to do with the diagnosis of system 2 are activated as a function of operating states of system 2. What is essential here is that multiple function modules 10 can be processed concurrently, meaning that the processing of one module is not yet complete while a different module is also being processed simultaneously. A microcomputer 3 can only execute a single instruction which is assigned to a specific module. Simultaneous processing thus means, in this context, that modules which are being processed simultaneously are provided for the allocation of computing time, so that the functions connected with the corresponding modules are performed.

Scheduler 11 and priority manager 12 are part of the sequence control system which decides which modules are activated and which are not. In this context, it is the task of priority manager 12 to assign priorities to function modules 10 and to modify those priorities for sequence control purposes. The task of scheduler 11 is then to decide, as a function of those priorities, which function

modules 10 are in the active state and which function modules 10 are in the inactive state. The ways in which priority manager 12 operates are explained below with reference to Figures 2 through 4.

5 In Figure 2, priority p is plotted against a time t on a diagram. What is plotted as time t here is the time during which a module is in a specific state, i.e. either active or inactive. The diagram in Figure 2 shows, as examples, the priorities of modules A and B. In addition, an alternative illustration A' is shown with reference to module A. Module B serves only as a comparison module, and is therefore depicted as having a constant priority over the entire time period. At 10 time t_0 , module A has a priority 0. This time t_0 can be defined, for example, by the fact that at that time, module A has informed the sequence control system, i.e. either scheduler 11 or priority manager 12, that it is now ready to run. Time t is then therefore to be understood as a waiting time, since priority manager 12 5 is continuously increasing the priority of module A. At time t_1 , the priority of module A then exceeds the constant priority of module B, so that at time t_1 , scheduler 11 will activate module A and shift module B into an inactive state. This applies, of course, only on the assumption that modules A and B are ready to run, but must not run simultaneously. Priority manager 12 thus assigns a 20 priority to module A as a function of a time period.

A further possibility for assigning priorities to a module results from the comparison of module A and module A'. The priority profiles depicted in Figure 2 for modules A and A' differ in terms of the increase in priority per unit time. 25 Module A' is not activated until a much later time t_2 , since the priority increase for this module A' is less than the priority increase of module A. The difference in slopes results from the fact that the waiting time t is additionally associated (for example, by multiplication) with the intensity of a state of system 2. The state of system 2 envisioned here is, in particular, the intensity of a sensor 30 signal of system 2. The more intense the measured value of the sensor of system 2, the greater the slope of the priority of module A or A'. A nonlinear profile for the priority of A or A' may also result in this case.

A further possibility for assigning a priority to the modules consists in assigning the modules a priority exclusively as a function of a sensor signal. In the case of an engine, for example, provision could be made for the priority of a module to be associated directly with the engine speed, i.e. the higher the engine speed, the higher the priority of a specific module becomes.

Figure 3 shows a further method with which priority manager 12 allocates a priority to a module A. In Figure 3 as well, a module B with constant priority is shown for comparison. In the case of module A shown in Figure 3, the priority once again increases linearly between times t_0 and t_3 as a function of the waiting time t . At time t_3 , module A is activated by scheduler 11. The priority manager then abruptly assigns an increased priority to module A. This is necessary in order to ensure that module A is now processed for a specific time. This is necessary in particular if the competing module B does not, as shown here, have a constant priority but rather itself has a priority which rises slightly over time; or if, as depicted in Figure 3, the priority of module A decreases slightly after activation of module A (time period following time t_3). The reason is that in both of these cases, there would otherwise be a continuous switching back and forth between module A and module B, i.e. module A would be respectively activated and then deactivated at short time intervals. Priority manager 12 is therefore designed so that when a module is activated, an increase in priority is abruptly applied in order to achieve a certain hysteresis in the switching back and forth between modules of similar priority.

A further manner in which the priority manager can allocate a priority to a module A is shown in Figure 4. In Figure 4, an absolute time - for example an absolute time after a motor vehicle has been started - is plotted on the time axis t . The absolute times t_4 and t_5 define a time window, module A being given a very high priority within this time window. With a priority assignment of this kind, it is possible to execute a module preferentially as a function of an absolute time. Outside this time window, the priority of module A can then be managed in a conventional manner, for example by continuously increasing the

priority with a waiting time of module A, as also shown in Figure 4. An absolute time window of this kind is desirable, for example, if specific function modules absolutely must be executed in specific time windows. This is the case, for example, with specific diagnostic functions such as those used for motor vehicles. It is necessary in this case to ensure that for standardized tests, which often contain only a single time window that is suitable for a specific function test, the function modules associated therewith are also executed. Outside the time window, provision is again made, by way of a normal priority assignment based on waiting time or the like, for the corresponding function modules to be invoked at least occasionally.

As a function of the priorities that were assigned by priority manager 12 to the individual function modules 10, scheduler 11 then determines which modules are activated and which modules are inactivated. This is done, for example, by activating scheduler module 11 at regular time intervals and then activating or deactivating function modules 10 as a function of the priorities. Another possibility may consist in always activating scheduler module 11 when a function module 10 completes its activity, since at that point as well, another decision can be made as to which modules can and cannot then run. Another possibility for invoking scheduler 11 consists in making the activation of scheduler module 11 dependent on external signals, for example sensor signals of system 2.

An explanation will now be given, with reference to Figures 5-8, as to how scheduler module selects the modules to be activated as a function of the priorities of function modules A, B, C, D, E, F, G, and H. From the set of modules A through H, shown in Figure 5, that are ready to run, i.e. awaiting activation, the module with the highest priority is selected first. In the present case this is module A, which is shown in Figure 5 by a circle. Scheduler module 11 then determines whether further modules are present which must not run simultaneously with module A. This may be due, for example, to the fact that module A accesses specific actuators and no other modules must influence

those actuators at the same time. It may also be the case that module A influences sensor values which are required by other modules. Interdependencies of this kind between modules can be stored, for example, in a list which scheduler 11 can access. In the present case, for example, the behavior is such that modules C and E must not be active simultaneously with module A. These modules are therefore deleted from the set, as shown in Figure 6. What then remains is a residual set in which module A which has already been selected, and the deleted modules C and E which must not be activated together with module A, are no longer present. In the present example this set is constituted by elements B, D, F, G, and H. From this residual set, the module with the highest priority - in the present example, module D - is once again selected. As shown in Figure 7, modules B and H must not be activated simultaneously with module D, so those modules are also deleted. The remaining residual set, with modules D and F, again no longer contains the deleted modules and the modules already selected. In this residual set, module D has a higher priority than module F, so that module D is selected in the next step. The residual set which now remains contains only module F, which may be activated simultaneously with module D, so that in the last step (see Figure 8), module F is also selected for activation. Scheduler 11 has thus also determined that modules A, D, G, and F are to be active because of the priorities and interdependencies. If one of the modules that has now been deleted was activated prior to this determination, scheduler 11 transfers that module into a deactivated state. If one of the selected modules was previously deactivated, that module is transferred by scheduler 11 into the activated state.

If it happens, in the aforesaid cases in which scheduler 11 must select a module with the highest priority, that more than one module possesses the same highest priority, the scheduler can then create a sequence for those equal-priority modules using any desired further criteria. In Figures 5-8, for example, alphabetical order (assuming equal priority) can be utilized for the decision.

What Is Claimed Is:

1. A control device for controlling a system, comprising:
 - a plurality of activatable modules, each of the activatable modules having a corresponding priority value;
 - a scheduler activating the activatable modules as a function of the corresponding priority values of the activatable modules to provide activated modules, the activated modules generating data by analyzing states of the system; and
 - a priority manager modifying the corresponding priority value of at least one of the modules.
2. The control device according to claim 1, wherein the priority manager modifies the corresponding priority value of a particular module of the activatable modules as a function of a time period in which the particular module is one of activated and deactivated.
3. The control device according to claim 1, wherein the priority manager modifies the corresponding priorities of activatable modules as a function of the states of the system.
4. The control device according to claim 1, wherein the priority manager modifies the corresponding priority value of a particular module of the activatable modules as a function of the states of the system and a time period during which the particular module is one of activated and deactivated.
5. The control device according to claim 1, wherein the priority manager modifies the corresponding priority value of a particular module of the activatable modules as a function of an activation message which indicates that the particular module has been activated.
6. The control device according to claim 5, wherein the priority manager

modifies the corresponding priority value of the particular module as a further function of a corresponding deactivation message.

7. The control device according to claim 1, wherein the priority manager modifies the corresponding priority value of a particular module of the activatable modules as a function of absolute time signals.

8. The control device according to claim 1,

wherein the scheduler selects a first module having a highest priority for an activation, the first module being selected from a set of the activatable modules awaiting the activation,

wherein the scheduler assembles a residual set of the activatable modules from the set of the activatable modules, the residual set excluding the first module and second modules which must not be activated simultaneously with the first module, and

wherein the scheduler selects third modules from the residual set of the activatable modules for the activation.

9. The control device according to claim 8,

wherein the scheduler repeatably selects the third modules of the activatable modules for the activation, each of the third modules having a respective highest priority,

wherein the scheduler assembles the residual set of the activatable modules which exclude the third modules already selected for the activation and fourth modules which must not be activated simultaneously with the third modules, and

wherein the scheduler continues to repeatably select from the third modules and to assemble the residual set until the residual set does not contain any of the activatable modules.

10. The control device according to claim 8, wherein, after the first module and the third modules are selected, the scheduler verifies that the selected first and

third modules are activated and unselected modules of the activatable modules are not activated.

11. The control device according to claim 1, wherein the system includes one of a motor vehicle, an engine and a transmission.

12. A method for operating a control device which controls a system, the control device including a plurality of activatable modules, the method comprising the steps of:

assigning a corresponding priority value to each of the activatable modules;

activating the activatable modules as a function of the corresponding priority values to provide activated modules;

with the activated modules, generating data by observing states of the system; and

modifying the corresponding priority values of the modules.

13. The method according to claim 12, wherein the corresponding priority value of a particular module of the activatable modules is modified as a function of a time period during which the particular module is one of activated and deactivated.

14. The method according to claim 12, wherein the corresponding priority value of a particular module of the activatable modules is modified as a function of the states of the system.

15. The method according to claim 12, wherein the corresponding priority value of a particular module of the activatable modules is modified as a function of the states of the system and a time period during which the particular module is one of activated and deactivated.

16. The method according to claim 12, wherein the corresponding priority value

of a particular module of the activatable modules is modified as a function of an absolute time signal.

17. The method according to claim 12, further comprising the steps of:

before the activating step, selecting a first module having a highest priority for an activation, the first module being selected from a set of the activatable modules awaiting the activation;

assembling a residual set of the activatable modules from the set of the activatable modules, the residual set excluding the first module and second modules which must not be activated together with the first module; and

selecting third modules from the residual set of the activatable modules for the activation.

18. The method according to claim 17, further comprising the steps of:

before the activating step, selecting the third modules of the activatable modules for the activation, each of the third modules having a respective highest priority; and

assembling the residual set of the activatable modules which exclude the third modules already selected for the activation and fifth modules which must not run simultaneously with the third modules, wherein the third modules are selected and the residual set is assembled until the residual set does not contain any of the activatable modules.

19. The method according to claim 17, further comprising the step of:

after the first module and the third modules are selected, verifying that the selected first and third modules are activated and unselected modules of the activatable modules are not activated, wherein the first and third modules are not activated until still-activated modules of the activatable modules, with which the first and third modules must not run simultaneously, have been deactivated.

20. The method according to claim 12, wherein the system includes one of a motor vehicle, an engine and a transmission.




Fig. 1




Fig. 2




Fig. 3




Fig. 4




Fig. 5




Fig. 6




Fig. 7




Fig. 8

DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that:

My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name.

I believe I am an original, first and joint inventor of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled **CONTROL DEVICE FOR A SYSTEM, AND METHOD FOR OPERATING THE CONTROL DEVICE**, the specification of which is filed herewith.

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above-identified specification, including the claims.

I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to the examination of this application in accordance with Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, § 1.56(a).

I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under Title 35, United States Code, § 119 of any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate listed below and have also identified below any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate having a filing date before that of the application on which priority is claimed:

PRIOR FOREIGN APPLICATION(S)

Number	Country filed	Day/month/year	Priority Claimed Under 35 USC 119
1 97 44 230.7	Fed. Rep. of Germany	7 October 1997	Yes

And I hereby appoint Richard L. Mayer (Reg. No. 22,490) and Gerard A. Messina (Reg. No. 35,952) my attorneys with full power of substitution and revocation, to prosecute this application and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith.

Please address all communications regarding this application to:

KENYON & KENYON
One Broadway
New York, New York 10004

Please direct all telephone calls to Richard L. Mayer at (212) 425-7200.

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful and false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

Inventor: Holger BELLMANN

Inventor's Signature: H. Bellmann

Date: 3. 7. 88

Residence: Adolf-Gesswein-Str. 4
71636 Ludwigsburg
Federal Republic of Germany

Citizenship: Federal Republic of Germany

Post Office Address: Same as above.

Inventor: Gudrun MENRAD

Inventor's Signature: Gudrun Menrad

Date: 7 september 1998

Residence: Hegelstr. 10
70174 Stuttgart
Federal Republic of Germany

Citizenship: Federal Republic of Germany

Post Office Address: Same as above.

Inventor: Jürgen WOLF

Inventor's Signature: _____

Date: _____

Residence: Rechts Der Alb 30
 76199 Karlsruhe
 Federal Republic of Germany

Citizenship: Federal Republic of Germany

Post Office Address: Same as above.

FOR Jürgen WOLF (legally incapacitated):

Signature/ *Heinz Muhl* Date/ 02/09/98
HEINZ MOHL
(Print Or Type Name)

Legal representative/guardian of Jürgen WOLF
(legally incapacitated).

Inventor: **Hans HILLNER**

Inventor's Signature: Hans Hillner

Date: 7. Sep. 98

Residence: Rintheimer Str. 15
76131 Karlsruhe
Federal Republic of Germany

Citizenship: Federal Republic of Germany

Post Office Address: Same as above.

Inventor: Juergen SCHIEMANN

Inventor's Signature: Juergen Schiemann

Date: 12. Sept. 1998

Residence: Eichenweg 9
71706 Markgroeningen
Federal Republic of Germany

Citizenship: Federal Republic of Germany

Post Office Address: Same as above.

Inventor: Georg MALLEBREIN

Inventor's Signature: Georg Mallebrein

Date: September, 14th, 1998

Residence: Kniebisstr. 19
78224 Singen
Federal Republic of Germany

Citizenship: Federal Republic of Germany

Post Office Address: Same as above.

20361